arXiv:1201.1748v1 [math.DG] 9 Jan 2012

On Noncommutative Principal Bundles with Finite Abelian Structure Group

Stefan Wagner
Mathematisches Institut, WWU Münster,
Einsteinstrasse 62, D-48149 Münster,
`swagn_02@uni-muenster.de`

January 10, 2012

Abstract

Let Λ be a finite abelian group. A dynamical system with transformation group Λ is a triple (A, Λ, α), consisting of a unital locally convex algebra A, the finite abelian group Λ and a group homomorphism $\alpha : \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$, which induces an action of Λ on A. In this paper we present a new, geometrically oriented approach to the noncommutative geometry of principal bundles with finite abelian structure group based on such dynamical systems.

Keywords: Noncommutative differential geometry, dynamical systems, (trivial) principal bundles with finite abelian structure group, (trivial) noncommutative principal bundles with finite abelian structure group, graded algebras, crossed-product algebras, factor systems.

MSC2010: 46L87, 55R10 (primary), 37B05, 17A60 (secondary)

1 Introduction

The correspondence between geometric spaces and commutative algebras is a familiar and basic idea of algebraic geometry. Noncommutative Topology started with the famous Gelfand-Naimark Theorems: Every commutative C*-algebra is the algebra of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a locally compact space and vice versa. In particular, a noncommutative C*-algebra may be viewed as "the algebra of continuous functions vanishing at infinity" on a "quantum space". The aim of Noncommutative Geometry is to develop the basic concepts of Topology, Measure Theory and Differential Geometry in algebraic terms and then to generalize the corresponding classical results to the setting of noncommutative algebras. The question whether there is a way to translate the geometric concept of a fibre bundle to Noncommutative Geometry is quite interesting in this context. In the case of vector bundles a refined version of the Theorem of Serre and Swan [13] gives the essential clue: The category of vector bundles over a manifold M is equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective modules over $C^\infty(M)$. It is therefore reasonable to consider finitely generated projective modules over an arbitrary algebra as "noncommutative vector bundles". The case of principal bundles is so far not treated in the same satisfactory way. From a geometrical point of view it is not sufficiently well understood what a "noncommutative principal bundle" should be. Still, there are several approaches towards the noncommutative geometry of principal bundles: For example, there is a well-developed abstract algebraic approach known as Hopf–Galois extensions which uses the theory of Hopf algebras (cf. [12] or [7, Chapter VII]). Another topologically oriented approach can be found in [4]; here the authors use C^*-algebraic methods to develop a theory of principal noncommutative torus bundles based on Green' s Theorem (cf. [6, Corollary 15]). Furthermore, the authors of [1] introduce C^*-algebraic analogs of freeness and properness, since by a classical result (of Differential Geometry) having a free and proper action of a Lie Group G on a manifold P is equivalent saying that P carries the structure of a principal bundle with structure group G. In [17] we have developed a geometrically oriented approach to the noncommutative geometry of principal bundles based on dynamical systems and the representation theory of the corresponding transformation groups.

As is well-known from classical Differential Geometry, the relation between locally and globally defined objects is important for many constructions and applications. For example, a principal bundle (P, M, G, q, σ) can be considered as a geometric object that is glued together from local pieces which are trivial, i.e., which are of the form $U \times G$ for some open subset U of M. Thus, a natural step towards a geometrically oriented theory of "noncommutative principal bundles" is to describe the *trivial* objects first, i.e., to determine and to classify the "trivial" noncommutative principal bundles. The case of the n-torus, i.e., $G = \mathbb{T}^n$, was treated in [16]:

Definition 1.1. (Trivial noncommutative principal torus bundles). A (smooth) dynamical system $(A, \mathbb{T}^n, \alpha)$ is called a *(smooth) trivial noncommutative principal* $\mathbb{T}^n$*-bundle*, if each isotypic component $A_{\mathbf{k}}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, contains an invertible element.

A hint for the quality of this definition for trivial noncommutative principal torus bundles is the observation that they have a natural counterpart in the theory of Hopf–Galois extensions: In fact, up to a suitable completion, they correspond to the so-called cleft $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n]$-comodule algebras; a notion that is close, although in general not equivalent, to the triviality of a principal bundle:

Remark 1.2. (Realtion to cleft Hopf–Galois extensions). Let G be a group. An algebra A is a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-comodule algebra if and only if A is a G-graded algebra (cf. [2, Lemma 4.8]). Moreover, we conclude from [12, Example 2.1.4] that a G-graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ is a Hopf–Galois extension (of A_{1_G}) if and only if A is strongly graded, i.e., if $A_g A_{g'} = A_{gg'}$ for all $g, g' \in G$. Now, a short calculation shows that a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-comodule algebra A is cleft if and only if each grading space A_g contains an invertible element. For more background on Hopf–Galois extensions, in particular for the definition of cleft extensions, we refer to [12, Section 2.2].

Unfortunately, if Λ is a finite abelian group, then the class of cleft $\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$-comodule algebras do not extend the classical geometry of trivial principal Λ-bundles.

Our approach inspired by the following observation: Without loss of generality we may assume that $\Lambda = C_{n_1} \times \cdots \times C_{n_k}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each C_{n_i} denotes the cyclic group of order n_i. A principal Λ-bundle $(P, M, \Lambda, q, \sigma)$ is trivial if and only if it admits a trivialization map. Such a trivialization map consists basically of k (smooth) functions $f_i : P \to C_{n_i}$ satisfying $f_i^{n_i} = 1$ and $f_i(\sigma(p, \lambda)) = f_i(p) \cdot \lambda_i$ for all $p \in P$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \in \Lambda$. From an algebraical point of view this condition means that each isotypic component of the (naturally) induced dynamical system $(C^\infty(P), \Lambda, \alpha)$ contains an invertible element of (some prescribed) finite order.

In Section 2 we present a geometrically oriented approach to the noncommutative geometry of trivial principal C_n-bundles based on dynamical systems of the form (A, C_n, α). We will in particular see that this approach extends the classical geometry of trivial principal C_n-bundles: If $A = C^\infty(P)$ for some manifold P, then we recover a trivial principal C_n-bundle and, conversely, each trivial principal bundle (P, M, C_n, q, σ) gives rise to a trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle of the form $(C^\infty(P), C_n, \alpha)$.

While in classical (commutative) differential geometry there exists up to isomorphy only one trivial principal C_n-bundle over a given manifold M, the situation completely changes in the noncommutative world. Indeed, Fourier decomposition shows that the underlying algebraic structure of a trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle (A, C_n, α) is the one of a so-called (C_n, A^{C_n})-crossed product algebra, which are described and classified in Appendix A. Thus, given a unital algebra B (which serves as a " base"), the main goal of the third section is to provide a complete classification of (C_n, B)-crossed product algebras for which the associtated sequence (1) of groups is split, i.e., to classify all "algebraically" trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundles with fixed point algebra B.

The goal of Section 4 is to extend the results of Section 2 to finite abelian groups (which are, up to an isomorphism, products of cyclic groups), i.e., to present a geometrically oriented approach to the noncommutative geometry of trivial principal bundles with finite abelian structure group based on dynamical systems of the form (A, Λ, α), where Λ denotes a finite abelian group. Again, we will see that this approach extends the classical geometry of trivial principal Λ-bundles: If $A = C^\infty(P)$ for some manifold P, then we recover a trivial principal Λ-bundle and, conversely, each trivial principal bundle $(P, M, \Lambda, q, \sigma)$ gives rise to a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle of the form $(C^\infty(P), \Lambda, \alpha)$. Moreover, we present a bunch of examples of trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundles. In particular, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we will see that the matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ carries the structure of a trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle. Furthermore, the last part of Section 4 is dedicated to some ideas and problems concerning a classification theory of " algebraically" trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundles (with a prescribed fixed point algebra B).

Again, let Λ be a finite abelian group. Section 5 is finally devoted to a geometrically oriented approach to the noncommutative geometry of principal Λ-bundles.

Since the freeness property of a group action is a local condition (cf. [19, Remark 8.10]), our main idea is inspired by the classical setting: Loosely speaking, a dynamical system (A, Λ, α) is called a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle, if it is "locally" a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle in the sense of Section 4. At this point a localization method for non-commutative algebras or, more generally, for dynamical systems enters the game (cf. [19]). We prove that this approach extends the classical theory of principal Λ-bundles and present some noncommutative examples. In fact, we first show that each trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle carries the structure of a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle in its own right. We further show that examples of noncommutative principal Λ-bundles are provided by sections of algebra bundles with trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle as fibre, sections of algebra bundles which are pull-backs of principal Λ-bundles and sections of trivial equivariant algebra bundles.

Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. A (G, B)-crossed product algebra is a G-graded unital algebra with $A_{1_G} = B$ and the additional property that each grading space contains an invertible element. For example, if G is abelian and $B = \mathbb{C}$, then a (G, B)-crossed product algebra is the same as a G-quantum torus in the terminology of [11]. In Appendix A we introduce a "cohomology theory" for crossed product algebras, which is inspired by the classical cohomology theory of groups. The corresponding cohomology spaces are crucial for the classification of trivial noncommutative principal bundles with compact abelian structure group. A detailed discussion for the case $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ can be found in [16]. Finally, given a compact abelian group G, the last part of the appendix is devoted to a Landstad duality theorem for C^*-dynamical systems (A, G, α) with the property that each isotypic component contains an invertible element. We did not find such a result in the literature.

The present paper is part of a larger program with the intend of finding a geometric approach to noncommutative principal bundles (cf. [17], [16] and [19]).

Preliminaries and Notations

All manifolds appearing in this paper are assumed to be finite-dimensional, paracompact, second countable and smooth. For the necessary background on (principal) bundles and vector bundles we refer to [8]. All algebras are assumed to be associative and complex if not mentioned otherwise. Given an algebra A, we write $\Gamma_A := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg}}(A, \mathbb{C}) \backslash \{0\}$ (with the topology of pointwise convergence on A) for the spectrum of A and $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ for the corresponding group of automorphisms in the category of A. Moreover, a dynamical system is a triple (A, G, α), consisting of a unital locally convex algebra A, a topological group G and a group homomorphism $\alpha : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$, which induces a continuous action of G on A. We will also make use of concepts coming from classical group cohomology: If G, H are groups and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we say that a map $f : G^p \to H$ is normalized if

$$(\exists j)\, g_j = 1_G \;\;\Rightarrow\;\; f(g_1, \ldots, g_p) = 1_H$$

and write $C^p(G, H)$ for the space of all normalized maps $G^p \to H$, the so called p-cochains. For a detailed background on group cohomology we refer to [9, Chapter IV]. Finally, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write

$$C_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : z^n = 1\} = \{\zeta^k : \zeta := \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n}),\ k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$$

for the cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ of n-th roots of unity.

Acknowledgements

We thank Siegfried Echterhoff and Karl-Hermann Neeb for useful discussion on this topic.

2 Trivial Noncommutative Principal C_n-Bundles

In this section we present a geometrically oriented approach to the noncommutative geometry of trivial principal C_n-bundles based on dynamical systems of the form (A, C_n, α). We will in particular see that this approach extends the classical geometry of trivial principal C_n-bundles: If $A = C^\infty(P)$ for some manifold P, then we recover a trivial principal C_n-bundle and, conversely, each trivial principal bundle (P, M, C_n, q, σ) gives rise to a trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle of the form $(C^\infty(P), C_n, \alpha)$.

Notation 2.1. We recall that the map

$$\Psi : C_n \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(C_n, \mathbb{T}), \ \ \Psi(\zeta^k)(\zeta) := \zeta^k$$

is an isomorphism of abelian groups. In the following we will identify the character group of C_n with C_n via the isomorphism Ψ. In particular, if A is a unital locally convex algebra and (A, C_n, α) a dynamical system, then we write

$$A_k := A_{\Psi(\zeta^k)} = \{a \in A : \alpha(\zeta).a = \Psi(\zeta^k)(\zeta) \cdot a = \zeta^k \cdot a\}$$

for the isotypic component corresponding to ζ^k, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Definition 2.2. (Trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundles). A dynamical system (A, C_n, α) is called a *trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle* if each isotypic component A_k contains an invertible element a_k satisfying $a_k^n = 1_A$.

Remark 2.3. Let (A, C_n, α) be a dynamical system such that each isotypic component A_k, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, contains an invertible element. Then the set

$$A_h^\times := \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} A_k^\times$$

of homogeneous units is a subgroup of $A^\times$ containing $A_0^\times$ and we thus obtain the following short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow A_0^\times \longrightarrow A_h^\times \xrightarrow{q} C_n \longrightarrow 1 \qquad (1)$$

of groups, where $q(a_k) := \zeta^k$.

Proposition 2.4. *A dynamical system* (A, C_n, α) *is a trivial noncommutative principal* C_n*-bundle if and only if the assocитated sequence (1) is split, i.e., if there is a group homomorphism* $\sigma : C_n \to A_h^\times$ *satisfying* $q \circ \sigma = \mathrm{id}_{C_n}$.

Proof (" $\Rightarrow$ ") For this direction we first choose an invertible element $a_1 \in A_1$ satisfying $a_1^n = 1_A$. Then a short calculation shows that the map

$$\sigma : C_n \to A_h^\times, \;\; \sigma(\zeta^k) := (a_1)^k$$

defines a group homomorphism splitting the associated sequence (1) of groups.

(" $\Leftarrow$ ") Let $\sigma : C_n \to A_h^\times$ be a group homomorphism splitting the associated sequence (1) of groups and put $a_k := \sigma(\zeta)^k$. Then $a_k \in A_k$ is invertible by definition and satisfies $a_k^n = \sigma(\zeta)^{kn} = \sigma(\zeta^{kn}) = \sigma(1) = 1_A$. ■

Remark 2.5. Let (A, C_n, α) be a trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle and $\sigma : C_n \to A_h^\times$ a group homomorphism which splits (1). Then the map

$$A_0^\times \rtimes_S C_n \to A_h^\times, \;\; (a_0, \zeta^k) \mapsto a_0 \sigma(\zeta)^k$$

is an isomorphism of groups, where the semidirect product is defined by the homomorphism

$$S := C_{A_0^\times} \circ \sigma : C_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(A_0^\times)$$

and $C_{A_0^\times} : A_h^\times \to \mathrm{Aut}(A_0^\times)$ denotes the conjugation action of $A_h^\times$ on $A_0^\times$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let* (A, C_n, α) *be a dynamical system and* $B := A^{C_n}$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *There exist invertible elements* $a_1 \in A_1$ *and* $b_1 \in B$ *such that* $a_1^n = b_1^n$.

(b) *For each* $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ *there exist invertible element* $a_k \in A_k$ *and* $b_k \in B$ *such that* $a_k^n = b_k^n$.

Proof (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): For $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ we simply put $a_k := a_1^k$ and $b_k := b_1^k$. Then $a_k \in A_k$ and $b_k \in B$ are both invertible and we have

$$a_k^n = a_1^{kn} = (a_1^n)^k = (b_1^n)^k = (b_1^k)^n = b_k^n.$$

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): This direction is obvious. ■

Proposition 2.7. *Let* (A, C_n, α) *be a dynamical system such that* $B := A^{C_n}$ *is a central subalgebra of* A. *Then* (A, C_n, α) *is a trivial noncommutative principal* C_n*-bundle if and only if* (A, C_n, α) *satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.6.*

Proof (" $\Rightarrow$ ") This direction immediately follows from Definition 2.2.

(" $\Leftarrow$ ") For the other direction we first choose an invertible element $a_1 \in A_1$ and $b_1 \in B$ such that $a_1^n = b_1^n$. Then a short calculation shows that the map

$$\sigma : C_n \to A_h^\times, \;\; \sigma(\zeta^k) := (a_1 b_1^{-1})^k$$

defines a group homomorphism splitting the associated sequence (1) of groups. ■

Lemma 2.8. *If A is a commutative unital locally convex algebra and (A, C_n, α) a dynamical system such that each isotypic component A_k contains an invertible element, then the induced map*

$$\sigma : \Gamma_A \times C_n \to \Gamma_A, \ \ \chi.\zeta^k := \sigma(\chi, \zeta^k) := \chi \circ \alpha(\zeta^k)$$

defines a free action of C_n on the spectrum Γ_A of A.

Proof An easy observation shows that σ defines an action of C_n on the spectrum Γ_A. The crucial part is to verify the freeness of the map σ, i.e., to show that the stabilizer of each element of Γ_A is trivial: For this, we first choose an invertible element $a_1 \in A_1$. Now, let $\chi \in \Gamma_A$ and $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ such that $\chi.\zeta^l = \chi \circ \alpha(\zeta^l) = \chi$. Then

$$(\chi \circ \alpha(\zeta^l))(a_1) = \chi(\alpha(\zeta^l).a_1) = \zeta^l \cdot \chi(a_1) = \chi(a_1)$$

implies that $\zeta^l = 1$ and thus in turn that $l = 0$, which proves the freeness of the map σ. ■

Remark 2.9. If P is a manifold, $p \in P$ and δ_p the corresponding point evaluation map on $C^\infty(P)$, then there is a unique smooth structure on the spectrum $\Gamma_{C^\infty(P)}$ of $C^\infty(P)$ for which the map

$$\Phi : P \to \Gamma_{C^\infty(P)}, \ \ p \mapsto \delta_p$$

becomes a diffeomorphism. A proof of this statement can be found in [18, Lemma 2.5].

Proposition 2.10. *Let P be a manifold and $(C^\infty(P), C_n, \alpha)$ a dynamical system such that each isotypic component $C^\infty(P)_k$ contains an invertible element. Then the map*

$$\sigma : P \times C_n \to P, \ \ (\delta_p, \zeta^k) \mapsto \delta_p \circ \alpha(\zeta^k),$$

where we have identified P with the set of characters via the map Φ from Remark 2.9, is smooth and defines a free and proper action of C_n on the manifold P. In particular, we obtain a principal bundle $(P, P/C_n, C_n, \mathrm{pr}, \sigma)$, where $\mathrm{pr} : P \to P/C_n$ denotes the canonical orbit map.

Proof Since the group C_n is finite, the map σ is obviously smooth and proper. Its freeness follows from Lemma 2.8. Therefore, the Quotient Theorem implies that we obtain a principal bundle $(P, P/C_n, C_n, \mathrm{pr}, \sigma)$ (cf. [15, Kapitel VIII, Satz 21.6]). ■

Theorem 2.11. (*Trivial principal C_n-bundles*). *Let P be a manifold. Then the following assertions hold:*

(a) *If $(C^\infty(P), C_n, \alpha)$ is a trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle, then the corresponding principal bundle $(P, P/C_n, C_n, \mathrm{pr}, \sigma)$ of Proposition 2.10 is trivial.*

(b) *Conversely, if* (P, M, C_n, q, σ) *is a trivial principal* C_n*-bundle, then the corresponding dynamical system* $(C^\infty(P), C_n, \alpha)$ *defined by*

$$\alpha : C_n \times C^\infty(P) \to C^\infty(P), \;\; \alpha(\zeta^k, f)(p) := (\zeta^k.f)(p) := f(\sigma(p, \zeta^k)),$$

is a trivial noncommutative principal C_n*-bundle.*

Proof (a) If $(C^\infty(P), C_n, \alpha)$ is a trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle, then we may choose an invertible element $f \in C^\infty(P)_1$ satisfying $f^n = 1$ from we conclude that $\mathrm{im}(f) = C_n$. In particular, the map

$$\varphi : P \to P/C_n \times C_n, \;\; p \mapsto (\mathrm{pr}(p), f(p))$$

defines an equivalence of principal C_n-bundles over P/C_n implying that the principal bundle $(P, P/C_n, C_n, \mathrm{pr}, \sigma)$ of Proposition 2.10 is trivial.

(b) Conversely, let (P, M, C_n, q, σ) be a trivial principal C_n-bundle and

$$\varphi : P \to M \times C_n, \;\; p \mapsto (q(p), f(p))$$

be an equivalence of principal C_n-bundles over M. We first note that the function $f \in C^\infty(P)$ is invertible. Furthermore, the C_n-equivariance of φ implies that $f \in C^\infty(P)_1$. Therefore, $f \in C^\infty(P)_1$ is invertible with $f^n = 1$. We thus conclude that $(C^\infty(P), C_n, \alpha)$ is trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle. ■

3 Classification of Trivial Noncommutative Principal C_n-Bundles

While in classical (commutative) differential geometry there exists up to isomorphy only one trivial principal C_n-bundle over a given manifold M, the situation completely changes in the noncommutative world. Indeed, Fourier decomposition shows that the underlying algebraic structure of a trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundle (A, C_n, α) is the one of a so-called (C_n, A^{C_n})-crossed product algebra, which are described and classified in AppendixA. Thus, given a unital algebra B (which serves as a " base"), the main goal of this section is to provide a complete classification of (C_n, B)-crossed product algebras for which the associated sequence (1) of groups is split, i.e., to classify all "algebraically" trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundles with fixed point algebra B.

Definition 3.1. Let B be a unital algebra. We say that two group homomorphisms $S, S' : C_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ are equivalent and write in that case $S \sim S'$ if there exists an element $h \in C^1(C_n, B^\times)$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) We have $S' = (C_B \circ h) \cdot S$, where $C_B : B^\times \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ denotes the conjugation action of $B^\times$ on B.

(ii) The class $[d_S h] \in H^2(C_n, Z(B)^\times)_S$ vanishes, where

$$d_S h(\zeta^k, \zeta^l) := h(\zeta^k) S(\zeta^k)(h(\zeta^l)) h(\zeta^{k+l})^{-1}.$$

Remark 3.2. To see that the 2-cochain $d_S h$ in Definition 3.1 (ii) is actually a 2-cocycle, we just have to note that condition (i) is equivalent to $\mathrm{im}(d_S h) \subseteq Z(B)^\times$, which in turn implies that $d_S h \in Z^2(C_n, Z(B)^\times)_S$ (cf. [10, Remark 2.20 (b)]).

Lemma 3.3. *Let B be a unital algebra. Then $\sim$ defines an equivalence relation on the set* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(C_n, \mathrm{Aut}(B))$

Proof For the proof we have to check that $\sim$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive:

- "Reflexivity": We just take $h \equiv 1_B$.
- "Symmetrie": We take $h' := h^{-1}$. Then a short calculation shows that $d_{S'} h' = d_S h$.
- "Transitivity": If $S \sim S'$ with $h \in C^1(C_n, B^\times)$ and $S' \sim S''$ with $h' \in C^1(C_n, B^\times)$, then we easily conclude $S \sim S''$ with $h' \cdot h \in C^1(C_n, B^\times)$. In fact, another short calculation yields to $d_S(h' \cdot h) = d_{S'} h' + d_S h$.

■

Definition 3.4. (Set of equivalence classes). Let B be a unital algebra. We write $\mathrm{Ext}(C_n, B)_{\mathrm{split}}$ for the set of all equivalence classes of (C_n, B)-crossed product algebras for which the associated sequence (1) of groups is split.

Proposition 3.5. *Let B be a unital algebra and $S : C_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ a group homomorphism. Then the (C_n, B)-crossed product algebra $A_S := A_{(S,\mathbf{1})}$ of Construction A.11 defines an element of* $\mathrm{Ext}(C_n, B)_{\mathrm{split}}$.

Proof We just have to note that the map $\sigma : C_n \to A_h^\times$, $\sigma(\zeta^k) := v_{\zeta^k}$ defines a group homomorphism splitting the associated sequence (1) of groups. ■

Theorem 3.6. *Let B be a unital algebra. Then the map*

$$\Phi : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(C_n, \mathrm{Aut}(B))/\sim \;\to \mathrm{Ext}(C_n, B)_{\mathrm{split}}, \;\; [S] \mapsto [A_S]$$

is a well-defined bijection.

Proof We divide the proof of this theorem into three parts:

(i) That the map Φ is well-defined is a consequence of Proposition 3.5 and [10, Remark 2.20 (b)]: In fact, if $S \sim S'$ with $h \in C^1(C_n, B^\times)$, then we get

$$[A_S] = [A_{(S', d_S h)}] = [d_S h].[A_{S'}] = [A_{S'}]$$

(cf. Theorem A.18).

(ii) Next, we show that Φ is surjective: For this, let $[A] \in \mathrm{Ext}(C_n, B)_{\mathrm{split}}$ and choose a group homomorphism $\sigma : C_n \to A_h^\times$ splitting the associated sequence (1) of groups. Then $S := C_B \circ \sigma : C_n \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ defines a group homomorphism satisfying $\Phi([S]) = [A_S] = [A]$.

(iii) To see that Φ is injective, let $S, S' \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(C_n, \operatorname{Aut}(B))$ with $[A_S] = [A_{S'}]$. Then there exists $h \in C^1(C_n, B^\times)$ with $S' = (C_B \circ h) \cdot S$ what is equivalent to $\operatorname{im}(d_S h) \subseteq Z(B)^\times$, which in turn implies that $d_S h \in Z^2(C_n, Z(B)^\times)_S$ (cf. [10, Remark 2.20 (b)]). Now, we conclude from

$$[A_S] = [A_{(S', d_S h)}] = [d_S h].[A_{S'}] = [A_{S'}]$$

that $[d_S h] \in H^2(C_n, Z(B)^\times)_S$ vanishes. Hence, $S \sim S'$ (with $h \in C^1(C_n, B^\times)$). ■

Corollary 3.7. *If B is commutative, then the map*

$$\Phi : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(C_n, \operatorname{Aut}(B)) \to \operatorname{Ext}(C_n, B)_{\mathrm{split}}, \quad S \mapsto [A_S]$$

is a well-defined bijection.

Proof The assertion immediately follows from Theorem 3.6 and the fact that in this case we have $S \sim S'$ if and only if $S = S'$. ■

Remark 3.8. Let Λ be an abelian group and $S : C_n \to \operatorname{Aut}(\Lambda)$ be a group homomorphism. Further, let $N\Lambda$ be the subgroup of Λ generated by the elements of the form $\lambda + S(\zeta).\lambda + \ldots + S(\zeta^{n-1}).\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, i.e.,

$$N\Lambda := \left\langle \lambda + S(\zeta).\lambda + \ldots + S(\zeta^{n-1}).\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda \right\rangle.$$

Then we have

$$H^2(C_n, \Lambda)_S \cong \Lambda^{C_n} / N\Lambda.$$

In particular, if S is trivial, then $H^2(C_n, \Lambda) \cong \Lambda / n\Lambda$ and thus $H^2(C_n, \Lambda)$ is trivial for divisible Λ. A nice reference for the previous discussion is [9, Chapter IV, Section 7].

Example 3.9. If $B = \mathbb{C}$, then $\operatorname{Aut}(B) = \{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}}\}$. We thus conclude from Corollary 3.7 and Remark 3.8 that $\operatorname{Ext}(C_n, \mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{split}}$ consists of a single element which is geometrically realized as the trivial principal C_n-bundle over a single point $\{*\}$, which algebraically corresponds to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[C_n]$.

Example 3.10. If $B = C^\infty(M)$ for some manifold M, then $\operatorname{Aut}(B) \cong \operatorname{Diff}(M)$. We thus conclude from Corollary 3.7 that $\operatorname{Ext}(C_n, C^\infty(M))_{\mathrm{split}}$ is classified by diffeomorphisms of the manifold M of finite order n. In particular, the trivial principal C_n-bundle over M corresponds to the trivial diffeomorphism, i.e., to $S = \mathbf{1}$.

Example 3.11. Let $B = \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $Z(\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$ and according to the well-known Skolem–Noether Theorem each automorphism of $\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is inner. In particular, each group homomorphism $S' : C_n \to \operatorname{Inn}(\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))$ is equivalent to $S = \mathbf{1}$, since $H^2(C_n, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ is trivial (cf. Remark 3.8). From this we conclude that $\operatorname{Ext}(C_n, \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))_{\mathrm{split}}$ consists of a single element, which is realized by the group algebra $\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})[C_n]$ (with multiplication given by the usual convolution product).

4 Trivial Noncommutative Principal Bundles with Finite Abelian Structure Group

In the following let Λ be a finite abelian group. The goal of this section is to present a geometrically oriented approach to the noncommutative geometry of trivial principal Λ-bundles based on dynamical systems of the form (A, Λ, α). Again, we will see that this approach extends the classical geometry of trivial principal Λ-bundles: If $A = C^\infty(P)$ for some manifold P, then we recover a trivial principal Λ-bundle and, conversely, each trivial principal bundle $(P, M, \Lambda, q, \sigma)$ gives rise to a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle of the form $(C^\infty(P), \Lambda, \alpha)$.

Notation 4.1. Given a finite abelian group Λ, the Structure Theorem for finitely generated abelian groups implies that Λ is isomorphic to a finite product of cyclic groups. Thus, we will in the following always assume that $\Lambda = C_{n_1} \times \cdots \times C_{n_k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here,

$$C_{n_i} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : z^{n_i} = 1\} = \{\zeta_i^l : \zeta_i := \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n_i}),\ l = 0, 1, \ldots, n_i - 1\}.$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. A short observation shows that the character group of Λ is canonically isomorphic to Λ (as abelian group). In fact, the map

$$\Psi : \Lambda \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\text{gr}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{T}),\ \ \Psi(1, \ldots, \zeta_i, \ldots, 1)(1, \ldots, \zeta_j, \ldots, 1) := \begin{cases} \zeta_i & \text{for } i = j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

defines an isomorphism of abelian groups. In the following we will identify the character group of Λ with Λ via the isomorphism Ψ. Moreover, if (A, Λ, α) is a dynamical system, then we write

$$A_{(l_1,\ldots,l_k)} := A_{\Psi(\zeta_1^{l_1},\ldots,\zeta_k^{l_k})}$$

for the isotypic component corresponding to the element $(\zeta_1^{l_1}, \ldots, \zeta_k^{l_k}) \in \Lambda$. In particular, if e_i denotes the i-th standard basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^k$, then we write

$$A_i := A_{e_i}.$$

Definition 4.2. (Trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundles). A dynamical system (A, Λ, α) is called a *trivial noncommutative principal* Λ*-bundle* if each isotypic component A_i contains an invertible element a_i satisfying $a_i^{n_i} = 1_A$.

Remark 4.3. Of course, if Λ consists of a single factor, i.e., if $\Lambda = C_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then Definition 4.2 coincides with Definition 2.2.

Remark 4.4. Let (A, Λ, α) be a dynamical system such that each isotypic component contains an invertible element. Then the set $A_h^\times$ of homogeneous units is a subgroup of $A^\times$ containing $A_0^\times$ and we thus obtain the following short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow A_0^\times \longrightarrow A_h^\times \xrightarrow{q} \Lambda \longrightarrow 1 \tag{3}$$

of groups, where $q(a_{(l_1,\ldots,l_k)}) := (\zeta_1^{l_1},\ldots,\zeta_k^{l_k})$. For each $i=1,\ldots,k$, the natural inclusion map $\iota_i : C_{n_i} \to \Lambda$ leads to a pull back extension

$$E_i : 1 \longrightarrow A_0^\times \longrightarrow A_{h,i}^\times \longrightarrow C_{n_i} \longrightarrow 1 \tag{4}$$

of (3). Here,

$$A_{h,i}^\times := \bigcup_{0\leq l\leq n_i-1} A_{le_i}^\times.$$

Proposition 4.5. *A dynamical system (A,Λ,α) is a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle if and only if each pull back extension E_i (4) of the associtated sequence (3) of groups is split, i.e., if for each $i=1,\ldots,k$ there is a group homomorphism $\sigma_i : C_{n_i} \to A_{h,i}^\times$ satisfying $q\circ\sigma_i = \mathrm{id}_{C_{n_i}}$.*

Proof (“ $\Rightarrow$ ”) For this direction we first choose for each $i=1,\ldots,k$ an invertible element $a_i \in A_i$ satisfying $a_i^{n_i} = 1_A$. Then a short calculation shows that the map

$$\sigma_i : C_{n_i} \to A_{h,i}^\times,\ \ \sigma(\zeta_i^l) := (a_i)^l,\ \ l=0,\ldots,n_i-1$$

defines a group homomorphism splitting the pull back extension E_i.

(“ $\Leftarrow$ ”) For each $i=1,\ldots,k$, let $\sigma_i : C_{n_i} \to A_{h,i}^\times$ be a group homomorphism splitting the the pull back extension E_i and put $a_i := \sigma(\zeta_i)^k$. Then $a_i \in A_i$ is invertible by definition and satisfies $a_i^{n_i} = \sigma(\zeta)^{kn_1} = \sigma(\zeta^{kn_i}) = \sigma(1) = 1_A$. ■

Lemma 4.6. *If A is a commutative unital locally convex algebra and (A,Λ,α) a dynamical system such that each isotypic component contains an invertible element, then the induced map*

$$\sigma : \Gamma_A \times \Lambda \to \Gamma_A,\ \ \chi.\lambda := \sigma(\chi,\lambda) := \chi\circ\alpha(\lambda)$$

defines a free action of Λ on the spectrum Γ_A of A.

Proof The proof of this assertion is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.8. Alternatively, we refer to [18, Proposition 5.6]. ■

Proposition 4.7. *Let P be a manifold and $(C^\infty(P),\Lambda,\alpha)$ a dynamical system such that each isotypic component contains an invertible element. Then the map*

$$\sigma : P\times\Lambda \to P,\ \ (\delta_p,\lambda) \mapsto \delta_p\circ\alpha(\lambda),$$

where we have identified P with the set of characters via the map Φ from Remark 2.9, is smooth and defines a free and proper action of Λ on the manifold P. In particular, we obtain a principal bundle $(P, P/\Lambda, \Lambda, \mathrm{pr}, \sigma)$, where $\mathrm{pr} : P \to P/\Lambda$ denotes the canonical orbit map.

Proof Since the group Λ is finite, the map σ is obviously smooth and proper. The freeness of σ is a consequence of Lemma 4.6. Therefore, the Quotient Theorem implies that we obtain a principal bundle $(P, P/\Lambda, \Lambda, \mathrm{pr}, \sigma)$ (cf. [15, Kapitel VIII, Satz 21.6]). ■

Theorem 4.8. (*Trivial principal* Λ*-bundles*). *If* P *is a manifold, then the following assertions hold:*

(a) *If* $(C^\infty(P),\Lambda,\alpha)$ *is a smooth trivial NCP* Λ*-bundle, then the corresponding principal bundle* $(P,P/\Lambda,\Lambda,\mathrm{pr},\sigma)$ *of Proposition 4.7 is trivial.*

(b) *Conversely, if* (P,M,Λ,q,σ) *is a trivial principal* Λ*-bundle, then the corresponding smooth dynamical system* $(C^\infty(P),\Lambda,\alpha)$ *defined by*

$$\alpha:\Lambda\times C^\infty(P)\to C^\infty(P),\ \ \alpha(\lambda,f)(p):=(\lambda.f)(p):=f(\sigma(p,\lambda)),$$

is a trivial noncommutative principal Λ*-bundle.*

Proof (a) If $(C^\infty(P),\Lambda,\alpha)$ is a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle, then we may choose for each $i=1,\ldots,k$ an invertible element $f_i\in C^\infty(P)_i$ satisfying $f_i^{n_i}=1$ from we conclude that $\mathrm{im}(f_i)=C_{n_i}$. In particular, the map

$$\varphi:P\to P/\Lambda\times\Lambda,\ \ p\mapsto(\mathrm{pr}(p),f1(p),\ldots,f_kp)$$

defines an equivalence of principal Λ-bundles over P/Λ implying that the principal bundle $(P,P/\Lambda,\Lambda,\mathrm{pr},\sigma)$ of Proposition 4.7 is trivial.

(b) Conversely, let (P,M,Λ,q,σ) be a trivial principal Λ-bundle and

$$\varphi:P\to M\times\Lambda,\ \ p\mapsto(q(p),f_1(p),\ldots,f_k(p))$$

be an equivalence of principal Λ-bundles over M. We first note that each function $f_i\in C^\infty(P)$ is invertible. Furthermore, the Λ-equivariance of φ implies that $f_i\in C^\infty(P)_i$. Therefore, $f_i\in C^\infty(P)_i$ is invertible with $f_i^{n_i}=1$. We thus conclude that $(C^\infty(P),\Lambda,\alpha)$ is trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle. ■

Some More Examples

Again, let Λ be a finite abelian group. In this subsection we present a bunch of examples of trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundles. In particular, given $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we will see that the matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ carries the structure of a trivial noncommutative principal $C_n\times C_n$-bundle.

Construction 4.9. (ℓ^1-crossed products). Let $(A,\|\cdot\|,^*)$ be an involutive Banach algebra and (A,Λ,α) a dynamical system. Note that this means that Λ acts by isometries of A. We write $F(\Lambda,A)$ for the vector space of functions $f:\Lambda\to A$ and define a multiplication on this space by

$$(f\star g)(\lambda):=\sum_{\lambda'\in\Lambda}f(\lambda')\alpha(\lambda,g(\lambda-\lambda')).$$

Moreover, an involution is given by

$$f^*(\lambda):=\alpha(\lambda,(f(-\lambda))^*).$$

These two operations are continuous for the ℓ^1-norm

$$\|f\|_1 := \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \|f(\lambda)\|.$$

For consistency we write $\ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda)$ for the corresponding involutive Banach algebra.

■

Proposition 4.10. *If $(A, \|\cdot\|, ^*)$ is an involutive Banach algebra and (A, Λ, α) a dynamical system, then the map*

$$\widehat{\alpha} : \Lambda \times \ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda) \to \ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda), \;\; (\widehat{\alpha}(\lambda', f))(\lambda) := (\lambda'.f)(\lambda) := (\Psi(\lambda).(\lambda')) \cdot f(\lambda)$$

defines an action of Λ on $\ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda)$ by algebra automorphisms. In particular, the triple

$$(\ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda), \Lambda, \widehat{\alpha})$$

defines a dynamical system.

Proof The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of [16, Lemma 2.6]. ■

Example 4.11. If $(A, \|\cdot\|, ^*)$ is an involutive Banach algebra and (A, Λ, α) a dynamical system, then the dynamical system $(\ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda), \Lambda, \widehat{\alpha})$ is a trivial NCP Λ-bundle. Indeed, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we define

$$\delta_\lambda(\lambda') := \begin{cases} 1_A & \text{for } \lambda' = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, we write

$$\delta_i(\lambda') := \begin{cases} 1_A & \text{for } \lambda' = \zeta_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$\lambda'.\delta_i = (\Psi(\zeta_i).(\lambda')) \cdot \delta_i, \text{ and } \delta_i \star \delta_i^* = \delta_i^* \star \delta_i = \mathbf{1}$$

show that δ_i is an invertible element of $\ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda)$ lying in the isotypic component $\ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda)_i$. From this observation and $\delta_i^n = \mathbf{1}$ we conclude that $\ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda)$ is a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle.

Definition 4.12. (C^*-crossed products). If $(A, \|\cdot\|, ^*)$ is an involutive Banach algebra and (A, Λ, α) a dynamical system, then the enveloping C^*-algebra of $\ell^1(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda)$ is denoted by $C^*(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda)$ and is called the *C^*-crossed product* associated to (A, Λ, α).

Example 4.13. If $(A, \|\cdot\|, ^*)$ is an involutive Banach algebra and (A, Λ, α) a dynamical system, then the action $\widehat{\alpha}$ of Proposition 4.10 extends to a continuous action of Λ on the C^*-crossed product $C^*(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda)$ by algebra automorphisms. For details we refer to the [14]. In particular, the corresponding dynamical system

$$(C^*(A \rtimes_\alpha \Lambda), \Lambda, \widehat{\alpha})$$

is a trivial noncommutative Λ-bundle. This follows exactly as in Example 4.11.

Remark 4.14. (2-cocycles). A 2-cocycle on Λ with values in the torus $\mathbb{T}$ is a map $\omega : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $\omega(0_\Lambda, 0_\Lambda) = 1$ and

$$\omega(\lambda, \lambda')\omega(\lambda + \lambda', \lambda'') = \omega(\lambda, \lambda' + \lambda'')\omega(\lambda', \lambda'')$$

for all $\lambda, \lambda', \lambda'' \in \Lambda$. We write $Z^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ for the space of all 2-cocycle on Λ with values in $\mathbb{T}$.

Construction 4.15. (ℓ^1-spaces associated to 2-cocycles). Let $(A, \| \cdot \|, ^*)$ be an involutive Banach algebra and ω a 2-cocycle in $Z^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$. The involutive Banach algebra $\ell^1(A \times_\omega \Lambda)$ is defined similar to Construction 4.9 by introducing a twisted multiplication

$$(f \star g)(\lambda) := \sum_{\lambda' \in \lambda} f(\lambda')g(\lambda - \lambda')\omega(\lambda', \lambda - \lambda')$$

and an involution

$$(f^*)(\lambda) := \overline{\omega(\lambda, -\lambda)} \cdot f(-\lambda).$$

In fact, the cocycle property ensures that the multiplication is associative.

Example 4.16. Let $(A, \| \cdot \|, ^*)$ be an involutive Banach algebra and $\omega \in Z^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$. Similarly to Proposition 4.10, we see that the map

$$\widehat{\alpha} : \Lambda \times \ell^1(A \times_\omega \Lambda) \to \ell^1(A \times_\omega \Lambda), \ \ (\widehat{\alpha}(\lambda', f))(\lambda) := (\lambda'.f)(\lambda) := (\Psi(\lambda).(\lambda')) \cdot f(\lambda)$$

defines an action of Λ on $\ell^1(A \times_\omega \Lambda)$ by algebra automorphisms. Moreover, the corresponding dynamical system

$$(\ell^1(A \times_\omega \Lambda), \Lambda, \widehat{\alpha})$$

turns out to be a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle (cf. Example 4.11).

Example 4.17. The enveloping C^*-algebra of $\ell^1(A \times_\omega \Lambda)$ is denoted by $C^*(A \times_\omega \Lambda)$ and is called the *twisted group C^*-algebra of Λ by ω*. The action $\widehat{\alpha}$ of Example 4.16 extends to an action of Λ on $C^*(A \times_\omega \Lambda)$ by algebra automorphisms (cf. Example 4.13). The corresponding dynamical system

$$(C^*(A \times_\omega \Lambda), \Lambda, \widehat{\alpha})$$

is a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle as well.

Example 4.18. (The matrix algebra). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\zeta := \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ we define

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \zeta & & & \\ & & \zeta^2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \zeta^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that the matrices R and S are unitary and satisfy the relations $R^n = \mathbf{1}$, $S^n = \mathbf{1}$ and $RS = \zeta \cdot SR$. Further, they generate a C^*-subalgebra which clearly commutes only with the multiples of the identity, so it has to be the full matrix algebra. The map

$$\alpha : (C_n \times C_n) \times \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \ \ (\zeta^k, \zeta^l).A := R^l S^k A S^{-k} R^{-l}$$

for $k, l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ defines a smooth group action of $C_n \times C_n$ on $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with fixed point algebra $\mathbb{C}$. Since $R^* = R^{-1} \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})_{(1,0)}$ and $S \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})_{(0,1)}$, we conclude that the triple $(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), C_n \times C_n, \alpha)$ is a smooth trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle.

Some Remarks on the Classification of Trivial Noncommutative Principal Bundles with Finite Abelian Structure Group

In Section 3 we gave a complete classification of all "algebraically" trivial noncommutative principal C_n-bundles with a prescribed fixed point algebra B. Unfortunately, a similar classification theory for a general noncommutative principal Λ-bundles seems to be much more involved. As before, Fourier decomposition shows that the underlying algebraic structure of a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle (A, Λ, α) is the one of a so-called (Λ, A^{Λ})-crossed product algebra, which are described and classified in AppendixA. Thus, given a unital algebra B (which serves as a "base"), the main goal of this short subsection is to discuss some ideas and problems concerning a complete classification of (Λ, B)-crossed product algebras for which the corresponding pull back extensions of the associtated sequence *(3)* of groups is split, i.e., to classify all " algebraically" trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundles with fixed point algebra B (cf. Remark 4.4 and Proposition 4.5).

Notation 4.19. Let B be a unital algebra. Then $\mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, B)$ denotes the set of all equivalence classes of (Λ, B)-crossed product algebras (cf. Definition A.9) and we recall that according to Theorem A.15, the map

$$\chi : \mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, B) \to H^2(\Lambda, B), \ \ [A] \mapsto \chi(A) = [S, \omega]$$

is a well-defined bijection, i.e., the cohomology space $H^2(\Lambda, B)$ from Subsection A.1 classifies set of all equivalence classes of (Λ, B)-crossed product algebras. Moreover, if $[A] \in \mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, B)$ with $\chi(A) = [S, \omega]$, then we write $[S_i, \omega_i] \in H^2(C_{n_i}, B)$ for the factor system of the pull back extensions E_i (4) of the associtated sequence (3).

Definition 4.20. Let B be a unital algebra. We write $\mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, B)_{\mathrm{ss}}$ for the set of all equivalence classes of (Λ, B)-crossed product algebras for which the corresponding pull back extensions of the associtated sequence (3) of groups is split. Here, "ss" stands for "semisplit".

Lemma 4.21. *Let B be a unital algebra. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, B)_{\mathrm{ss}} = \big\{[A] \in \mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, B) : (\forall 1 \leq i \leq k)\, [A_{(S_i,\omega_i)}] \in \mathrm{Ext}(C_{n_i}, B)_{\mathrm{split}}\big\}$$

(*cf. Definition 3.4 and Construction A.11*).

Proof The assertion is just an easy consequence of Notation 4.19 and Lemma 4.20. ■

Example 4.22. Let $B = \mathbb{C}$. Then Example 3.9 implies that $\mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C})_{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C})$. Further, we conclude from Remark A.19 that $\mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C})$ is classified by the space $H^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, which in turn can easily be computed with the help of [11, Proposition II.4]. For example, if $\Lambda = C_n \times C_n$, then

$$H^2(C_n \times C_n, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong C_n.$$

A short observation shows that the class of the trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle $(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), C_n \times C_n, \alpha)$ of Example 4.18 corresponds to the element $\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$.

Example 4.23. Let $B = \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then Example 3.11 implies that the set $\mathrm{Ext}(C_n, \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))_{\mathrm{split}}$ consists of a single element. In particular, we conclude that $\mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})) = \mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))_{\mathrm{ss}}$. Since each automorphism of $\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is inner, $\mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}))$ is classified by $H^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ (cf. Theorem A.18), which in turn can easily be computed with the help of [11, Proposition II.4].

We close this section with the following open problem:

Open Problem 4.24. Find a computable description of the set $\mathrm{Ext}(\Lambda, B)_{\mathrm{ss}}$. For this purpose, it is worth to study [10, Corollary 2.21]. In view of the previous two examples and Example 3.10, the algebra $B = C^\infty(M)$ for some manifold M is of particular interest.

5 Non-Trivial Noncommutative Principal Bundles with Finite Abelian Structure Group

Again, let Λ be a finite abelian group. The main goal of this section is to present a geometrically oriented approach to the noncommutative geometry of principal Λ-bundles. Since the freeness property of a group action is a local condition (cf. [19, Remark 8.10]), our main idea is inspired by the classical setting: Loosely speaking, a dynamical system (A, Λ, α) is called a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle, if it is "locally" a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle in the sense of Section 4. We prove that this approach extends the classical theory of principal Λ-bundles and present some noncommutative examples. In fact, we first show that each trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle carries the structure of a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle in its own right. We further show that examples of noncommutative principal Λ-bundles are provided by sections of algebra bundles with trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle as fibre, sections of algebra bundles which are pull-backs of principal Λ-bundles and sections of trivial equivariant algebra bundles.

Notation 5.1. Let A be a unital locally convex algebra and $C^\infty(\mathbb{R},A)$ the algebra of smooth A-valued function on $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the smooth compact open topology. For each $a \in A$ we define an element of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R},A)$ by $f_a : \mathbb{R} \to A$, $t \mapsto 1_A - ta$ and write I_a for the closure of the two-sided ideal generated by this element. We further write $A_{\{a\}} := C^\infty(\mathbb{R},A)/I_a$ for the corresponding locally convex quotient algebra and call it the *smooth localization* of A with respect to a. Given a dynamical system (A,Λ,α) and an element z in the fixed point algebra of the induced action of Λ on the center C_A of A, i.e., an element $z \in C_A^\Lambda$, the map

$$\alpha_{\{z\}} : \Lambda \times A_{\{z\}} \to A_{\{z\}}, \ \ (\lambda,[f]) \mapsto [\alpha(\lambda) \circ f]$$

defines an action of Λ on $A_{\{z\}}$ by algebra automorphisms. In particular, the triple $(A_{\{z\}},\Lambda,\alpha_{\{z\}})$ is a dynamical system and is called the *smooth localization of* (A,Λ,α) associated to the element $z \in C_A^\Lambda$. For a solid background on the previous discussion we refer to the first part of [19].

Definition 5.2. (Noncommutative principal Λ-bundles). We call a dynamical system (A,Λ,α) a *noncommutative principal* Λ*-bundle* if for each $\chi \in \Gamma_{C_A^\Lambda}$ there exists an element $z \in C_A^\Lambda$ with $\chi(z) \neq 0$ such that the corresponding localized dynamical system $(A_{\{z\}},\Lambda,\alpha_{\{z\}})$ is a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle the sense of Section 4.

Remark 5.3. (An equivalent point of view). The previous definition of noncommutative principal Λ-bundles is inspired by the classical setting. Indeed, given $z \in C_A^\Lambda$, we recall that

$$D(z) := \{\chi \in \Gamma_{C_A^\Lambda} : \chi(z) \neq 0\}.$$

Then a short observation shows that a dynamical system (A,Λ,α) is a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle if and only if there exists a family of elements $(z_i)_{i\in I} \subseteq C_A^\Lambda$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) The family $(D(z_i))_{i\in I}$ is an open covering of $\Gamma_{C_A^\Lambda}$.

(ii) The localized dynamical systems $(A_{\{z_i\}},\Lambda,\alpha_{\{z_i\}})$ are trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundles.

Theorem 5.4. (*Reconstruction Theorem*). *For a manifold P, the following assertions hold:*

(a) *If P is compact and $(C^\infty(P), \Lambda, \alpha)$ is a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle, then we obtain a principal Λ-bundle $(P, P/\Lambda, \Lambda, \mathrm{pr}, \sigma)$.*

(b) *Conversely, if $(P, M, \Lambda, q, \sigma)$ is a principal Λ-bundle, then the corresponding dynamical system $(C^\infty(P), \Lambda, \alpha)$ is a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle.*

Proof The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of [19, Theorem 8.11]. In fact, for part (a) we recall that if A is a commutative unital locally convex algebra and (A, Λ, α) a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle, then the induced action of Λ on the spectrum Γ_A of A is free (cf. Propositon 4.7). For part (b) we have to add Theorem 4.8. ■

Example 1: Trivial Noncommutative Prinvipal Λ-Bundles

We show that each trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle carries the structure of a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle:

Theorem 5.5. (*Trivial Noncommutative Principal Λ-bundles*). *Each trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle (A, Λ, α) carries the structure of a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle.*

Proof If (A, Λ, α) is a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle, then $1_A \in C_A^\Lambda$ and $\chi(1_A) = 1 \neq 0$ holds for each $\chi \in \Gamma_{C_A^\Lambda}$. In particular, [19, Corollary 2.10] implies that $A_{\{1_A\}} \cong A$ holds as unital locally convex algebras. Therefore, (A, Λ, α) is a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle in its own right. ■

Example 2: Sections of Algebra Bundles with a Trivial Noncommutative Principal Λ-Bundle as Fibre

We show that if A is a unital Fréchet algebra and (A, Λ, α) a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle such that C_A^Λ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, then the algebra of sections of each algebra bundle with "fibre" (A, Λ, α) is a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle. We start with the following lemma:

Proposition 5.6. *If (A, Λ, α) is a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle and M a manifold, then the triple $(C^\infty(M, A), \Lambda, \beta)$, where*

$$\beta : \Lambda \times C^\infty(M, A) \to C^\infty(M, A), \ \ (\lambda, f) \mapsto \alpha(\lambda) \circ f$$

carries the structure of a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle.

Proof The claim directly follows from [19, Lemma 7.5] and the fact that the algebra A is naturally embedded in $C^\infty(M, A)$ through the constant maps. In fact, if $\varphi \in \widehat{\Lambda}$ (again, $\widehat{\Lambda}$ denotes the dual group of Λ), then the corresponding isotypic component $C^\infty(M, A)_\varphi$ is equal to $C^\infty(M, A_\varphi)$. ■

Remark 5.7. (The automorphism group of a dynamical system). Let (A, Λ, α) be a dynamical system. The group

$$\mathrm{Aut}_\Lambda(A) := \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(A) : \, (\forall \lambda \in \Lambda)\, \alpha(\lambda) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \alpha(\lambda)\}$$

is called the *automorphism group of* the dynamical system (A, Λ, α).

Example 5.8. Let $(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), C_n \times C_n, \alpha)$ be the trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle of Example 4.18. Then we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{C_n \times C_n}(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cong C_n \times C_n.$$

Indeed, we first recall that $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by the unitaries R and S. If now $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{C_n \times C_n}(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, then a short observation leads to $\varphi(R) = \lambda_R \cdot R$ and to $\varphi(S) = \lambda_S \cdot S$ for some $\lambda_R, \lambda_S \in C_n$. In particular, each element in $\mathrm{Aut}_{C_n \times C_n}(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ corresponds to an element in $C_n \times C_n$ and vice versa.

Theorem 5.9. *Let A be a unital Fréchet algebra and (A, Λ, α) a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle such that C_A^Λ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Further, let M be a manifold, $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ an open cover of M and $U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j$ for $i, j \in I$. If $(g_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ is a collection of functions $g_{ij} \in C^\infty(U_{ij}, \mathrm{Aut}_\Lambda(A))$ satisfying*

$$g_{ii} = \mathbf{1} \;\text{ and }\; g_{ij} g_{jk} = g_{ik} \;\text{ on }\; U_{ijk} := U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k,$$

then the following assertions hold:

(a) *There exists an algebra bundle $(\mathbb{A}, M, A, q)$ and bundle charts $\varphi_i : U_i \times A \to \mathbb{A}_{U_i}$ such that*

$$(\varphi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_j)(x, a) = (x, g_{ij}(x).a).$$

Moreover, the map

$$\sigma : \Lambda \times \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}, \;\; (\lambda, a) \mapsto \varphi_i(x, \alpha(\lambda).a_0),$$

where $i \in I$ with $x = q(a) \in U_i$ and $a_0 \in A$ with $\varphi_i(x, a_0) = a$, defines an action of Λ on $\mathbb{A}$ by fibrewise algebra automorphisms.

(b) *The map*

$$\beta : \Lambda \times \Gamma\mathbb{A} \to \Gamma\mathbb{A}, \;\; \beta(\lambda, s)(m) := \sigma(\lambda, s(m))$$

defines an action of Λ on the corresponding space $\Gamma\mathbb{A}$ of sections by algebra automorphisms. Furthermore, the triple $(\Gamma\mathbb{A}, \Lambda, \beta)$ carries the structure of a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle.

Proof The first assertion of this theorem is a consequence of [19, Proposition 8.17]. The proof of the second assertion is similar to the proof of [19, Theorem 8.20]. In fact, we just to have add Proposition 5.6. ■

Example 5.10. (Non-triviality of the previous construction). In this example we show that the previous construction actually leads to non-trivial examples. For this we apply Theorem 5.9 to the trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle $(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), C_n \times C_n, \alpha)$ of Example 4.18. In view of Example 5.8 we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{C_n \times C_n}(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cong C_n \times C_n.$$

In particular, a similar argument as in [16, Proposition 2.1.14] implies that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the algebra bundles arising from Theorem 5.9 (a) and principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundles. Thus, if $(\mathbb{A}, M, \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), q)$ is such an algebra bundle which corresponds to a non-trivial principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle, then also $(\mathbb{A}, M, \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), q)$ is non-trivial as algebra bundle. We claim that the associated dynamical system $(\Gamma\mathbb{A}, C_n \times C_n, \beta)$ of Theorem 5.9 (b) is a non-trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle. To prove this claim we assume the converse, i.e., that $(\Gamma\mathbb{A}, C_n \times C_n, \beta)$ is a trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle. For this, we proceed as follows:

(i) We first recall that $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by the unitaries R and S and that its elements are given by finite sums

$$a = \sum a_{kl} R^k S^l \;\text{ with }\; a_{kl} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(ii) The definition of the action β implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(\Gamma\mathbb{A})_{(1,0)} &= \left\{ s \in \Gamma\mathbb{A} :\, (\forall (\zeta^k, \zeta^l) \in C_n \times C_n)\, (\zeta^k, \zeta^l).s = \zeta^k \cdot s \right\} \\ &= \left\{ s \in \Gamma\mathbb{A} :\, (\forall m \in M)\, s(m) \in (\mathbb{A}_m)_{(1,0)} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathbb{A}_m)_{(1,0)}$ denotes the isotypic component of the fibre $\mathbb{A}_m$ corresponding to $(1,0)$. Since $(\Gamma\mathbb{A}, C_n \times C_n, \beta)$ is assumed to be a trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle, we may choose an invertible element $s_{(1,0)} \in (\Gamma\mathbb{A})_{(1,0)}$. The same construction applied to the isotypic component corresponding to $(0,1)$ leads to an invertible element $s_{(0,1)} \in (\Gamma\mathbb{A})_{(0,1)}$.

(iii) If $s^i_{(1,0)} := \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})} \circ \varphi_i^{-1} \circ s_{(1,0)|U_i} : U_i \to \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, then a short observation shows that $s^i_{(1,0)} = \lambda^i_{(1,0)} \cdot R$ for some (smooth) function $\lambda^i_{(1,0)} : U_i \to C_n$. The same construction applied to $s_{(0,1)} \in (\Gamma\mathbb{A})_{(1,0)}$ shows that $s^i_{(0,1)} = \lambda^i_{(0,1)} \cdot S$ for some (smooth) function $\lambda^i_{(0,1)} : U_i \to C_n$.

(iv) We now show that the map

$$\varphi : M \times \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{A}, \;\; \Big(m, a = \sum a_{kl} R^k S^l\Big) \mapsto \sum a_{kl} (s_{(1,0)})^k(m) \cdot (s_{(0,1)})^l(m)$$

is an equivalence of algebra bundles over M. Indeed, φ is bijective and fibrewise an algebra automorphism. Moreover, the map φ is smooth if and only if the map

$$\psi_i := \mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})} \circ \varphi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_{|U_i \times \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})} : U_i \times \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$\Big(x, a = \sum a_{kl} R^k S^l\Big) \mapsto \sum a_{kl} (s^i_{(1,0)})^k(x) \cdot (s^i_{(0,1)})^l(x)$$

is smooth for each $i \in I$. Since

$$\psi_i(x, a) = \sum a_{kl} (\lambda^i_{(1,0)})^k(x) \cdot (\lambda^i_{(0,1)})^l(x) R^k S^l,$$

we conclude that

$$\psi_i = \alpha \circ \left((\lambda^i_{(1,0)}, \lambda^i_{(0,1)}) \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})} \right),$$

i.e., that ψ_i is smooth as a composition of smooth maps. A similar argument shows the smoothness of the inverse map.

(v) We finally achieve the desired contradiction: In view of part (iv), $\mathbb{A}$ is a trivial algebra bundle contradicting the construction of $\mathbb{A}$, i.e., that $\mathbb{A}$ is non-trivial as algebra bundle. This proves the claim.

Example 3: Sections of Algebra Bundles which are Pull-Backs of Principal Λ-Bundles

We show that if A is a unital Fréchet algebra with trivial center, $(\mathbb{A}, M, A, q)$ an algebra bundle and $(P, M, \Lambda, \pi, \sigma)$ a principal Λ-bundle, then the algebra of sections of the pull-back bundle

$$\pi^*(\mathbb{A}) := \{(p, a) \in P \times \mathbb{A} : \pi(p) = q(a)\}$$

carries the structure of a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle. We start with the following lemma:

Proposition 5.11. *If A is a unital locally convex algebra and M a manifold, then the map*

$$\alpha : \Lambda \times C^\infty(M \times \Lambda, A) \to C^\infty(M \times \Lambda, A), \ \ (\lambda, f) \mapsto (\lambda.f)(m, \lambda') := f(m, \lambda\lambda')$$

defines an action of Λ on $C^\infty(M \times \Lambda, A)$ by algebra automorphisms. In particular, the triple $(C^\infty(M \times \Lambda, A), \Lambda, \alpha)$ carries the structure of a trivial noncommutative principal Λ-bundle.

Proof For the proof we just have to note that the algebra $C^\infty(M \times \Lambda)$ is naturally embedded in $C^\infty(M \times \Lambda, A)$ through the unit element of A. ■

Theorem 5.12. *Let A be a unital Fréchet algebra with trivial center, $(\mathbb{A}, M, A, q)$ an algebra bundle and $(P, M, \Lambda, \pi, \sigma)$ a principal bundle. If $\pi^*(\mathbb{A})$ is the pull-back bundle over P and $\mathcal{A} := \Gamma\pi^*(\mathbb{A})$ the corresponding space of sections, then the following assertions hold:*

(a) *The map*

$$\sigma : \pi^*(\mathbb{A}) \times \Lambda \to \pi^*(\mathbb{A}), \ \ ((p, a), \lambda) \mapsto (p.\lambda, a)$$

defines an action of G on $\pi^*(\mathbb{A})$. Moreover, the map

$$\alpha : \Lambda \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}, \ \ \alpha(\lambda, s)(p) := \sigma(s(p.\lambda), \lambda^{-1})$$

defines an action of Λ on $\mathcal{A}$ by algebra automorphisms.

(b) *The dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, \Lambda, \alpha)$ carries the structure of a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle.*

Proof The first assertion of this theorem is a consequence of [19, Lemma 8.24 and Proposition 8.25]. The proof of the second assertion is similar to the proof of [19, Theorem 8.26]. In fact, we just to have add Proposition 5.11. ■

Example 5.13. (Non-triviality of the previous construction). In this example we show that the previous construction actually leads to non-trivial examples. Therefore let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$ and $\zeta := \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$. Further, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\zeta^m = \zeta$ (e.g. $m = n + 1$). Then [19, Remark 8.22] implies that the smooth two-dimensional quantum torus $\mathbb{T}^2_{\frac{1}{m}}$ is isomorphic to the space of sections of a non-trivial algebra bundle $\mathbb{A}$ over $\mathbb{T}^2$ with fibre $M_m(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the pull-back along the non-trivial principal bundle (covering) defined by the natural action of $C_n \times C_n$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$, i.e., by

$$(t_1, t_2).(\zeta^k, \zeta^l) := (\zeta^k \cdot t_1, \zeta^l \cdot t_2)$$

for $k, l = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, leads to a non-trivial algebra bundle $\pi^*(\mathbb{A})$ over $\mathbb{T}^2$ with fibre $M_m(\mathbb{C})$. We claim that the associated dynamical system $(\mathcal{A}, C_n \times C_n, \alpha)$ of Theorem 5.12 (a) is a non-trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle. To prove this claim we assume the converse, i.e., that $(\mathcal{A}, C_n \times C_n, \alpha)$ is a trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle and proceed as follows:

(i) In the following let $(\varphi_i, U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a bundle atlas of the pull-back bundle $\pi^*(\mathbb{A})$ over $\mathbb{T}^2$. For a section $s \in \mathcal{A}$ and $i \in I$ we write

$$s_i := \mathrm{pr}_{M_m(\mathbb{C})} \circ \varphi_i^{-1} \circ s_{|U_i}$$

for the corresponding function in $C^\infty(U_i, M_m(\mathbb{C}))$ (cf. [19, Construction 4.3]). We recall that $s_j(t) = g_{ji}(t) \cdot s_i(t)$ holds for all $i, j \in I$ and $t \in U_i \cap U_j$, where

$$g_{ji} : (U_i \cap U_j) \times M_m(\mathbb{C}) \to M_m(\mathbb{C})$$

denotes the smooth map defined by the transition function $\varphi_j^{-1} \circ \varphi_i$.

(ii) Let $s \in \mathcal{A}$. We show that the map

$$\mathrm{Det}(s) : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{C}, \ \ \mathrm{Det}(s)(t) := \det(s_i(t)),$$

for $i \in I$ with $z \in U_i$, is well-defined and smooth: In fact, the crucial point is to show that the map $\mathrm{Det}(s)$ is well-defined: For this let $i, j \in I$ with $z \in U_i \cap U_j$. Since each automorphism of the matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is inner (cf. the well-known Skolem–Noether Theorem), we easily conclude that

$$\det(s_j(t)) = \det(g_{ji}(t) \cdot s_i(t)) = \det(s_i(t)).$$

The smoothness of the map $\mathrm{Det}(s)$ follows from the local description by a smooth function.

(iii) Since $(\mathcal{A}, C_n \times C_n, \alpha)$ is assumed to be a trivial noncommutative principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundle, there exist invertible elements $F \in \mathcal{A}_{(1,0)}$ and $F' \in \mathcal{A}_{(0,1)}$ such that $F^n = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $(F')^n = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$.

(iv) Part (ii) now implies that $f := \mathrm{Det}(F) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Since F is invertible, so is f, i.e., f takes values in $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Moreover, the function f satisfies

$$(\zeta^k, \zeta^l).f = (\zeta^k, \zeta^l).\,\mathrm{Det}(F) = \mathrm{Det}(\zeta^k \cdot F) = (\zeta^k)^m \cdot \mathrm{Det}(F) = \zeta^k \cdot f,$$

for all $k, l = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Thus, f is an invertible element in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)_{(1,0)}$ satisfying $f^n = 1$ (here we have used that the action of $C_n \times C_n$ on $\mathcal{A}$ restricts to an action on $C_{\mathcal{A}} \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$). The same construction applied to F' gives an invertible element $f' \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)_{(0,1)}$ satisfying $(f')^n = 1$.

(v) Finally, part (iv) leads to the desired contradiction: Indeed, we conclude just as in the proof of Theorem 4.8 that the smooth functions f and f' have image C_n and define an equivalence of principal $C_n \times C_n$-bundles over

$$\mathbb{T}^2/(C_n \times C_n) \cong \mathbb{T}^2$$

given through the map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2/(C_n \times C_n) \times (C_n \times C_n), \;\; t \mapsto (\mathrm{pr}(t), f(t), f'(t)).$$

This is not possible, since $\mathbb{T}^2$ is connected.

Example 4: Sections of Trivial Equivariant Algebra Bundles

We now consider again a principal bundle $(P, M, \Lambda, q, \sigma)$ and, in addition, a unital locally convex algebra A. If $\pi : \Lambda \times A \to A$ defines a smooth action of Λ on A by algebra automorphisms, then

$$(p, a).\lambda := (p.\lambda, \pi(\lambda^{-1}).a)$$

defines a (free) action of Λ on $P \times A$ and one easily verifies that the trivial algebra bundle $(P \times A, P, A, q_P)$ is Λ-equivariant. Moreover, a short observation shows that the map

$$\alpha : \Lambda \times C^\infty(P, A) \to C^\infty(P, A), \;\; (\lambda.f)(p) := \pi(\lambda).f(p.\lambda)$$

defines a smooth action of Λ on $C^\infty(P, A)$ by algebra automorphisms. We recall that the corresponding fixed point algebra is isomorphic (as $C^\infty(M)$-algebra) to the space of sections of the associated algebra bundle

$$\mathbb{A} := P \times_\pi A := P \times_\Lambda A := (P \times A)/\Lambda$$

over M. In fact, bundle charts of $(P, M, \Lambda, q, \sigma)$ induces bundle charts for the associated algebra bundle.

Lemma 5.14. *If we apply the previous situation to the trivial principal bundle* $(M \times \Lambda, M, \Lambda, q_M, \sigma_\Lambda)$, *then the corresponding dynamical system* $(C^\infty(M \times \Lambda, A), \Lambda, \alpha)$ *carries the structure of a trivial noncommutative principal* Λ*-bundle with fixed point algebra* $C^\infty(M, A)$.

Proof For the proof we again just have to note that the algebra $C^\infty(M \times \Lambda)$ is naturally embedded in $C^\infty(M \times \Lambda, A)$ through the unit element of A. ■

Theorem 5.15. *If $(P, M, \Lambda, \pi, \sigma)$ is a principal bundle, A a unital Fréchet algebra with trivial center and $\pi : \Lambda \times A \to A$ a smooth action of Λ on A, then the dynamical system $(C^\infty(P, A), G, \alpha)$ is a noncommutative principal Λ-bundle.*

Proof The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of [19, Theorem 8.30]. In fact, we just to have add Lemma 5.14). ■

Example 5.16. We want to apply Theorem 5.15 to the the non-trivial principal bundle (covering) defined by the natural action of $C_m \times C_m$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$, i.e., by

$$(z_1, z_2).(\zeta^k, \zeta^l) := (\zeta^k \cdot z_1, \zeta^l \cdot z_2)$$

for $k, l = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$, the algebra $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ and the action of $C_m \times C_m$ on $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$(\zeta^k, \zeta^l).A := R^l S^k A S^{-k} R^{-l}$$

for $k, l = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. Here, R and S are defined as in Example 4.18 ($\theta = \frac{1}{m}$). The corresponding dynamical system $(C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2, M_m(\mathbb{C})), C_m \times C_m, \alpha)$ is a noncommutative principal $C_m \times C_m$-bundle with fixed point algebra the rational quantum torus $\mathbb{T}^2_{\frac{1}{m}}$ (cf. [17, Appendix E, Proposition E.2.5]). According to Example 4.18, the algebra $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ carries the structure of a trivial noncommutative principal $C_m \times C_m$-bundle. Therefore, it turns out that the same holds for $(C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2, M_m(\mathbb{C})), C_m \times C_m, \alpha)$ since $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ is naturally embedded in the algebra $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2, M_m(\mathbb{C}))$ through the constant maps.

Remark 5.17. (Non-triviality of the previous construction). Non-trivial examples can be constructed similarly as in Example 5.13.

A Classification of Crossed Product Algebras

Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. A (G, B)-crossed product algebra is a G-graded unital algebra with $A_{1_G} = B$ and the additional property that each grading space contains an invertible element. For example, if G is abelian and $B = \mathbb{C}$, then a (G, B)-crossed product algebra is the same as a G-quantum torus in the terminology of [11]. In this appendix we introduce a "cohomology theory" for crossed product algebras, which is inspired by the classical cohomology theory of groups. The corresponding cohomology spaces are crucial for the classification of trivial noncommutative principal bundles with compact abelian structure group. A detailed discussion for the case $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ can be found in [16].

A.1 Factor Systems

We start with associating so-called factor systems to pairs (G, B) consisting of a group G and a unital algebra B.

Definition A.1. Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra.

(a) We write $C_B : B^\times \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ for the *conjugation action* of $B^\times$ on B.

(b) We call a map $S \in C^1(G, \mathrm{Aut}(B))$ an *outer action* of G on B if there exists

$$\omega \in C^2(G, B^\times) \text{ with } \delta_S = C_B \circ \omega,$$

where

$$\delta_S(g, g') := S(g)S(g')S(gg')^{-1}.$$

(c) On the set of outer actions we define an equivalence relation by

$$S \sim S' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\exists h \in C^1(G, B^\times))\, S' = (C_B \circ h) \cdot S$$

and call the equivalence class $[S]$ of an outer action S a *G-kernel.*

(d) For $S \in C^1(G, \mathrm{Aut}(B))$ and $\omega \in C^2(G, B^\times)$ let

$$(d_S\omega)(g, g', g'') := S(g)(\omega(g', g''))\omega(g, g'g'')\omega(gg', g'')^{-1}\omega(g, g')^{-1}.$$

Lemma A.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and B be a unital algebra and consider the group $C^1(G, B^\times)$ with respect to pointwise multiplication. This group acts on the set*

$$C^1(G, \mathrm{Aut}(B)) \text{ by } h.S := (C_B \circ h) \cdot S$$

and on the product set

$$C^1(G, \mathrm{Aut}(B)) \times C^2(G, B^\times) \text{ by } h.(S, \omega) := (h.S, h *_S \omega)$$

for

$$(h *_S \omega)(g, g') := h(g)S(g)(h(g'))\omega(g, g')h(gg')^{-1}.$$

The stabilizer of (S, ω) is given by

$$C^1(G, B^\times)_{(S,\omega)} = Z^1(G, Z(B)^\times)_S$$

which depends only on $[S]$, but not on ω, and the following assertions hold:

(a) *The subset*

$$\{(S, \omega) \in C^1(G, \mathrm{Aut}(B)) \times C^2(G, B^\times) : \delta_S = C_B \circ \omega\}$$

is invariant.

(b) *If $\delta_S = C_B \circ \omega$, then* $\mathrm{im}(d_S\omega) \subseteq Z(B)^\times$.

(c) *If $\delta_S = C_B \circ \omega$ and $h.(S.\omega) = (S', \omega')$, then $d_{S'}\omega' = d_S\omega$.*

Proof A proof of this Lemma can be found in [Wa11], Lemma 7.3.2. ■

Definition A.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and B be a unital algebra. The elements of the set

$$Z^2(G, B) := \{(S, \omega) \in C^1(G, \mathrm{Aut}(B)) \times C^2(G, B^\times) : \delta_S = C_B \circ \omega, d_S\omega = 1\}$$

are called *factor systems* for the pair (G, B). By Lemma A.2, the set $Z^2(G, B)$ is invariant under the action of $C^1(G, B^\times)$ and we write

$$H^2(G, B) := Z^2(G, B)/C^1(G, B^\times)$$

for the corresponding cohomology space.

A.2 Classification of Crossed Product Algebras

Given a group G and a unital algebra B, the main goal of this section is to present a complete classification of (G,B)-crossed product algebras. We start with the precise definition:

Definition A.4. (Crossed product algebras). A G-graded unital algebra

$$A=\bigoplus_{g\in G}A_g$$

with $B:=A_{1_G}$ is called an (G,B)-*crossed product algebra*, if each grading space A_g contains an invertible element.

We now provide a construction that associates to each (G,B)-crossed product algebra A a class in $H^2(G,B)$:

Construction A.5. (Characteristic classes). Let A be a (G,B)-crossed product algebra. The set

$$A_h^\times:=\bigcup_{g\in G}A_g^\times$$

of homogeneous units is a subgroup of $A^\times$ containing $B^\times$. We thus obtain an extension

$$1\longrightarrow B^\times\longrightarrow A_h^\times\xrightarrow{q}G\longrightarrow 1 \tag{5}$$

of groups, where $q(a_g):=g$. In particular, $A_h^\times$ is equivalent to a crossed product of the form $B^\times\times_{(S,\omega)}G$ for a factor system $(S,\omega)\in Z^2(G,B)$. In this way each (G,B)-crossed product algebra A induces a *characteristic class*

$$\chi(A):=[(S,\omega)]\in H^2(G,B).$$

Lemma A.6. *Each (G,B)-crossed product algebra A possesses a characteristic class $\chi(A)\in H^2(G,B)$.*

Proof Indeed, this statement immediately follows from Construction A.5. ■

Definition A.7. Two (G,B)-crossed product algebras A and A' are called *equivalent* if there is an algebra isomorphism $\varphi:A\to A'$ satisfying $\varphi(A_g)=A'_g$ for all $g\in G$. If A and A' are equivalent (G,B)-crossed product algebras, then we write $[A]$ for the corresponding equivalence class.

Proposition A.8. *Let A and A' be two equivalent (G,B)-crossed product algebras. Then their corresponding characteristic classes coincide, i.e.,*

$$\chi(A)=\chi(A')\in H^2(G,B).$$

Proof If A and A' are equivalent, then the same holds for their corresponding extensions of Construction A.5. Thus, the claim follows from [9, Chapter IV, Section 4]. ■

Definition A.9. (Set of equivalence classes). Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. We write $\mathrm{Ext}(G, B)$ for the set of all equivalence classes of (G, B)-crossed product algebras.

Lemma A.10. *The map*

$$\chi : \mathrm{Ext}(G, B) \to H^2(G, B), \quad [A] \mapsto \chi(A)$$

is well-defined.

Proof The statement immediately follows from Proposition A.8. ■

In the remaining part we show that the map χ is a bijection:

Construction A.11. Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. Further, let

$$A := \bigoplus_{g \in G} B v_g$$

be a vector space with basis $(v_g)_{g \in G}$. For a factor system $(S, \omega) \in Z^2(G, B)$, we define a multiplication map

$$m_{(S,\omega)} : A \times A \to A$$

given on homogeneous elements by

$$m_{(S,\omega)}(bv_g, b'v_{g'}) := b(S(g)(b'))\omega(g, g')v_{gg'}, \tag{6}$$

and write $A_{(S,\omega)}$ for the vector space A endowed with the multiplication (6). A short calculation shows that $A_{(S,\omega)}$ is a G-graded unital algebra with $A_{1_G} = B$ and unit v_{1_G}. Moreover, each grading space A_g contains invertible elements w.r.t. to this multiplication: Indeed, if $b \in B^\times$, then the inverse of bv_g is given by

$$S(g)^{-1}(b^{-1}\omega(g, g^{-1})^{-1})v_{g^{-1}}.$$

Thus, $A_{(S,\omega)}$ is a (G, B)-crossed product algebra which has characteristic class $\chi(A_{(S,\omega)}) = [(S, \omega)]$.

Proposition A.12. *If G is a group and B a unital algebra, then each element $[(S, \omega)] \in H^2(G, B)$ can be realized by a (G, B)-crossed product algebra with $\chi(A) = [(S, \omega)]$.*

Proof This statement is a consequence of Construction A.11: In fact, if $[(S, \omega)]$ represents a class in $H^2(G, B)$, then $A_{(S,\omega)}$ satisfies the requirements of the proposition. ■

Proposition A.13. *Let A be a (G, B)-crossed product algebra. Then A is equivalent to a (G, B)-crossed product algebra of the form $A_{(S,\omega)}$ for some factor system $(S, \omega) \in Z^2(G, B)$.*

Proof Let A be a (G,B)-crossed product algebra. We consider the corresponding short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow A_{1_G}^{\times} \longrightarrow A_h^{\times} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

and choose a section $\sigma : G \to A_h^{\times}$. Now, a short calculation shows that the map

$$\varphi : (A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g, m_A) \to \big(\bigoplus_{g\in G} Bv_g, m_{(C_B\circ\sigma,\delta_\sigma)}\big),$$

given on homogeneous elements by

$$\varphi(a_g) := a_g\sigma(g)^{-1}v_g,$$

defines an equivalence of (G,B)-crossed product algebras. ■

Proposition A.14. *Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. Further, let (S,ω) and (S',ω') be two factor systems in $Z^2(G,B)$ with $[(S,\omega)] = [(S',\omega')]$. Then the corresponding (G,B)-crossed product algebras $A_{(S,\omega)}$ and $A_{(S',\omega')}$ are equivalent.*

Proof First recall that the condition $[(S',\omega')] = [(S,\omega)]$ is equivalent to the existence of an element $h \in C^1(G,B^{\times})$ with

$$h.(S,\omega) = (S',\omega'),$$

Now, a short observation shows that the map

$$\varphi : \big(\bigoplus_{g\in G} Bv_g, m_{(S',\omega')}\big) \to \big(\bigoplus_{g\in G} Bv_g, m_{(S,\omega)}\big),$$

given on homogeneous elements by

$$\varphi(bv_g) = bh(g)v_g,$$

is an automorphism of vector spaces leaving the grading spaces invariant. We further have

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{(S,\omega)}(\varphi(bv_g),\varphi(b'v_{g'})) &= m_{(S,\omega)}(bh(g)v_g, b'h(g')v_{g'}) \\
&= bh(g)S(g)(b'h(g'))\omega(g,g')v_{gg'} \\
&= b[C_B(h(g))(S(g)(b'))]h(g)S(g)(h(g'))\omega(g,g')v_{gg'} \\
&= b(h.S)(g)(b')(h *_S \omega)(g,g')h(gg')v_{gg'} \\
&= \varphi(b(h.S)(g)(b')(h *_S \omega)(g,g')v_{gg'}) \\
&= \varphi(m_{(S',\omega')}(bv_g, b'v_{g'})).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the map φ actually defines an equivalence of (G,B)-crossed product algebras. ■

We are now ready to state and proof the main theorem of this section:

Theorem A.15. *Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. Then the map*

$$\chi : \mathrm{Ext}(G,B) \to H^2(G,B), \ \ [A] \mapsto \chi(A)$$

is a well-defined bijection.

Proof We first note that Lemma A.10 implies that the map χ is well-defined. The surjectivity of χ follows from Proposition A.12. Hence, it remains to show that the map χ is injective: For this let A and A' be two (G,B)-crossed product algebras with $\chi(A) = \chi(A')$. By Proposition A.13 we may assume that $A = A_{(S,\omega)}$ and $A' = A_{(S',\omega')}$ for two factor systems (S,ω) and (S',ω') in $Z^2(G,B)$ with $[(S',\omega')] = [(S,\omega)]$. Thus, the claim follows from Proposition A.14. ■

A.3 *G*-Kernels

We just saw that the set of all equivalence classes of (G,B)-crossed product algebras is classified by the cohomology space $H^2(G,B)$. Moreover, Proposition A.8 in particular implies that equivalent (G,B)-crossed product algebras correspond to the same G-kernel. This leads to the following definition:

Definition A.16. (Equivalence classes of G-kernels). Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. We write $\mathrm{Ext}(G,B)_{[S]}$ for the set of equivalence classes of (G,B)-crossed product algebras corresponding to the G-kernel $[S]$.

Note that the set $\mathrm{Ext}(G,B)_{[S]}$ may be empty. The aim of this section is to show how to classify this set and give conditions for its non-emptiness. The following proposition basically states that if $\mathrm{Ext}(G,B)_{[S]}$ is non-empty, then it is classified by the second group cohomolgy space $H^2(G,Z(B)^\times)_{[S]}$ (cf. [9, Chapter IV, Section 4]):

Proposition A.17. *Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. Further, let S be an outer action of G on B with* $\mathrm{Ext}(G,B)_{[S]} \neq \emptyset$. *Then the following assertions hold:*

(a) *Each extension class in* $\mathrm{Ext}(G,B)_{[S]}$ *can be represented by (G,B)-crossed product algebra of the form $A_{(S,\omega)}$.*

(b) *Any other (G,B)-crossed product algebra $A_{(S,\omega')}$ representing an element of* $\mathrm{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}^n,B)_{[S]}$ *satisfies*

$$\omega' \cdot \omega^{-1} \in Z^2(G,Z(B)^\times)_{[S]}.$$

(c) *Two (G,B)-crossed product algebras $A_{(S,\omega)}$ and $A_{(S,\omega')}$ are equivalent if and only if*

$$\omega' \cdot \omega^{-1} \in B^2(G,Z(B)^\times)_{[S]}.$$

Proof (a) From Proposition A.12 we know that each (G,B)-crossed product algebra A is equivalent to one of the form $A_{(S',\omega')}$. If $[S] = [S']$ and $h \in C^1(G,B^\times)$

satisfies $h.S = S'$, then $h^{-1}.(S', \omega') = (S, h^{-1} *_{S'} \omega')$, so that $\omega'' := h^{-1} *_{S'} \omega'$ implies that $A_{(S',\omega')}$ and $A_{(S,\omega'')}$ are equivalent. This means that each extension class in $\mathrm{Ext}(G, B)_{[S]}$ can be represented by a (G, B)-crossed product algebra of the form $A_{(S,\omega'')}$.

(b) If (S, ω) and (S, ω') are two factor systems for the pair (G, B), then $C_B \circ \omega = \delta_S = C_B \circ \omega'$ implies $\beta := \omega' \cdot \omega^{-1} \in C^2(G, Z(B)^\times)$. Further $d_S\omega' = d_S\omega = 1_B$, so that

$$1_B = d_S\omega' = d_S\omega \cdot d_S\beta = d_S\beta$$

implies $\beta \in Z^2(G, Z(B)^\times)_{[S]}$. This means that $\omega' \in \omega \cdot Z^2(G, Z(B)^\times)_{[S]}$.

(c) (" $\Rightarrow$ ") In view of Proposition A.8 and Proposition A.14, the equivalence of the (G, B)-crossed product algebras $A_{(S,\omega)}$ and $A_{(S,\omega')}$is equivalent to the existence of an element $h \in C^1(G, B^\times)$ with

$$S = h.S = (C_B \circ h) \cdot S \ \text{ and } \ \omega' = h *_S \omega.$$

Then $C_B \circ h = \mathrm{id}_B$ implies that $h \in C^1(G, Z(B)^\times)$ which in turn immediately leads to $\omega' = h *_S \omega = (d_S h) \cdot \omega$, i.e.,

$$\omega'\omega^{-1} \in B^2(G, Z(B)^\times)_{[S]}.$$

(" $\Leftarrow$ ") If conversely $\omega'\omega^{-1} = d_S h$ for some $h \in C^1(G, Z(B)^\times)$, then we easily conclude that $h.S = S$ and $\omega' = h *_S \omega$. ■

Theorem A.18. *Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. Further, let $[S]$ be a G-kernel with* $\mathrm{Ext}(G, B)_{[S]} \neq \emptyset$. *Then the map*

$$H^2(G, Z(B)^\times)_{[S]} \times \mathrm{Ext}(G, B)_{[S]} \to \mathrm{Ext}(G, B)_{[S]}$$

given by

$$([\beta], [A_{(S,\omega)}]) \mapsto [A_{(S,\omega\cdot\beta)}]$$

is a well-defined simply transitive action.

Proof This follows directly form Proposition A.17. ■

Remark A.19. (Commutative fixed point algebras). Let G be a group and suppose that B is a commutative unital algebra. Then the adjoint representation of B is trivial and a factor system (S, ω) for (G, B) consists of a module structure given by a homomorphism $S : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ and an element $\omega \in C^2(G, B^\times)$. Thus, (S, ω) defines a (G, B)-crossed product algebra $A_{(S,\omega)}$ if and only if $d_S\omega = 1_B$, i.e., $\omega \in Z^2(G, B^\times)$. In this case we write A_ω for this (G, B)-crossed product algebra.

Further $S \sim S'$ if and only if $S = S'$. Hence a G-kernel $[S]$ is the same as a G-module structure S on B and $\mathrm{Ext}(G, B)_S := \mathrm{Ext}(G, B)_{[S]}$ is the set of all (G, B)-crossed product algebras corresponding to the G-module structure on B given by S.

According to Theorem A.18, these equivalence classes correspond to cohomology classes of cocycles, so that the map

$$H^2(G, B^\times)_S \to \mathrm{Ext}(G, B)_S, \ \ [\omega] \mapsto [A_\omega]$$

is a well-defined bijection.

We now give a condition that ensures the non-emptiness of the set $\mathrm{Ext}(G,B)_{[S]}$ for a given G-kernel S. We first need the following definition:

Definition A.20. Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. Further, let S be an outer action of G on B and choose $\omega \in C^2(G,B^\times)$ with $\delta_S = C_B \circ \omega$. The cohomology class

$$\nu(S) := [d_S\omega] \in H^3(G, Z(B)^\times)_S$$

does not depend on the choice of ω and is constant on the equivalence class of S, so that we may also write $\nu([S]) := \nu(S)$ (cf. [17, Corollary 7.3.25 for $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$]). We call $\nu(S)$ the *characteristic class* of S.

The next theorem provides a group theoretic criterion for the non-emptiness of the set $\mathrm{Ext}(G,B)_{[S]}$:

Theorem A.21. *Let G be a group and B be a unital algebra. If $[S]$ is a G-kernel, then*

$$\nu([S]) = \mathbf{1} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{Ext}(G,B)_{[S]} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof (" $\Leftarrow$ ") If there exists a(G,B)-crossed product algebra A corresponding to $[S]$, then Proposition A.13 implies that we may w.l.o.g. assume that it is of the form $A_{(S,\omega)}$. In particular, this means that $d_S\omega = 1_B$. Hence, we obtain $\nu([S]) = [d_S\omega] = \mathbf{1}$.

(" $\Rightarrow$ ") Suppose, conversely, that $\nu([S]) = [d_S\omega] = \mathbf{1}$. Then there exists an element $\omega \in C^2(G,B^\times)$ with $\delta_S = C_B \circ \omega$ and some $h \in C^2(G, Z(B)^\times)$ with $d_S\omega = d_S h^{-1}$. Therefore $\omega' := \omega \cdot h \in C^2(G,B^\times)$ satisfies

$$d_S\omega' = d_S\omega \cdot d_S h = 1 \ \text{ and } \ \delta_S = C_B \circ \omega'.$$

Hence, (S,ω') is a factor system for (G,B) and Proposition A.12 implies the existence of a (G,B)-crossed product algebra $A_{(S,\omega')}$ corresponding to the G-kernel $[S]$. ■

A.4 A Landstad Duality Theorem for Crossed Product Algebras

In the following let G be a compact abelian group. In the last part of this appendix we present a Landstad duality theorem for C^*-dynamical systems (A,G,α) with the property that each isotypic component contains an invertible element. We did not find such a result in the literature.

Notation A.22. Given a C^*-dynamical system (A,G,α), we write

$$A_\varphi := \{a \in A : (\forall g \in G)\, \alpha(g,a) = \varphi(g) \cdot a\}$$

for the isotypic component corresponding to an element φ in the dual group $\widehat{G}$ of G. In particular, we write $B := A^G = A_{\mathbf{0}}$ for the corresponding fixed point algebra.

Lemma A.23. *Let G be a compact abelian group and (A, G, α) be a C^*-dynamical system such that each isotypic component contains an invertible element. Then each isotypic component also contains a unitary element.*

Proof Let $\varphi \in \widehat{G}$ and $a_\varphi \in A_\varphi$ be an invertible element. Then we conclude from polar decomposition for C^*-algebras that $a_\varphi = |a_\varphi| u_\varphi$ for some positive element $|a_\varphi|$ and a unitary element u_φ. Further, the construction implies that $|a_\varphi| \in B$ and thus that $u_\varphi \in A_\varphi$ as desired. Hence, each isotypic component contains a unitary element. ■

Construction A.24. Let G be a compact abelian group and (A, G, α) be a C^*-dynamical system such that each isotypic component contains an invertible element. According to Lemma A.23, we may choose in each isotypic component A_φ a unitary element u_φ, which in turn leads to a factor system (S, ω) for the pair $(\widehat{G}, B)$ (cf. A.3) defined by

maps

$$S : \widehat{G} \to \mathrm{Aut}(B), \;\; S(\varphi).b := u_\varphi b u_\varphi^*$$

and

$$\omega : \widehat{G} \times \widehat{G} \to \mathrm{U}(B), \;\; \omega(\varphi, \varphi') := u_\varphi u_{\varphi'} u_{\varphi \cdot \varphi'}^*,$$

where $\mathrm{U}(B)$ denotes the unitary group of B. The corresponding algebra $A_{(S,\omega)}$ from Construction A.11 carries a natural involution given by

$$(bv_\varphi)^* := \omega(-\varphi, \varphi)^{-1} S(-\varphi).b^* v_{-\varphi}$$

and a short observation shows that multiplication and involution are continuous for the ℓ^1-norm

$$\|(b_\varphi v_\varphi)_{\varphi \in \widehat{G}}\|_1 := \sum_{\varphi \in \widehat{G}} \|b_\varphi\|.$$

We write $\ell^1(A_{(S,\omega)})$ for the corresponding involutive Banach algebra and $C^*(A_{(S,\omega)})$ for the enveloping C^*-algebra of $\ell^1(A_{(S,\omega)})$ (cf. Definition 4.12).

Remark A.25. In view of the terminology of Example 4.12 (cf. Example 4.17) we could also write $\ell^1(B \times_{(S,\omega)} \widehat{G})$ for $\ell^1(A_{(S,\omega)})$ and $C^*(B \times_{(S,\omega)} \widehat{G})$ for $C^*(A_{(S,\omega)})$.

Proposition A.26. *Suppose we are in the situation of Construction A.24. Then A is isomorphic (as C^*-algebra) to $C^*(A_{(S,\omega)})$.*

Proof Since G is amenable and both algebras are topologically graded (cf. [5, Definition 3.4]), the assertion follows from [5, Proposition 4.2]. In fact, a short observation shows that A and $C^*(A_{(S,\omega)})$ generate isomorphic Fell bundles (cf. also called C^*-algebraic bundles [3]). ■

References

[1] Baum, P., P. Hajac, R. Matthes and W. Szymanski, *Non-commutative geometry approach to principal and associated bundles*, arXiv:0701033v2 [math.DG], 8 Jan 2007.

[2] Blattner, R. J. and S. Montgomery, *A Duality Theorem for Hopf Module Algebras*, Journal of Algebra, 95, 153-172, 1985.

[3] Doran, R. S. and J. M. G. Fell, *Representations of *-algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach *-algebraic bundles*, Pure and Applied Mathematics vol. 125 and 126, Academic Press, 1988.

[4] Echterhoff, S., Ryszard Nest and Herve Oyono-Oyono, *Principal non-commutative torus bundles*, in Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, (3) 99 (2009), 1-31.

[5] Exel, R., *Amenability for Fell Bundles*, in Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik Crelles Journal, vol. 492, (1996), 1-31.

[6] Green, P., *C^*-algebras of transformation groups with smooth orbit space*, Pacific J. Math. 72 (1977), no. 1, 71-97.

[7] Hajac, P. M., *Lecture Notes on Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum Groups*, Lecture Notes edited by Piotr M. Hajac, `http://www.mimuw.edu.pl/~pwit/toknotes/toknotes.pdf`.

[8] Kobayashi, S., and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry vol. 1*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley, 1963.

[9] Mac Lane, S., *Homology*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[10] Neeb, K.-H., *Non-abelian extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie-groups*, Annales de l'Inst. Fourier 57 (2007), 209-271.

[11] —, *On the classification of rational quantum tori and the structure of their automorphism groups*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin 51, (2008), 261-282.

[12] Schauenburg, P., *Hopf-Galois and bi-Galois extensions*, Fields Inst. Commun., 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2004), 469-515.

[13] Swan, R. G., *Vector bundles and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 105, (1962), 264-277.

[14] Takai, H., *On a Duality for Crossed Products of C^*-Algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis 19, 25-39 (1975).

[15] Tom Dieck, T., *Topologie*, de Gruyter, 2. Auflage, 2000.

[16] Wagner, S., *Trivial Noncommutative Principal Torus Bundles*, to appear in Banach Center Publications.

[17] —, *A Geometric Approach to Noncommutative Principal Bundles*, PhD-Thesis, arXiv:1108.0311v1 [math.DG], 1 Aug 2011.

[18] —, *Free Group Actions from the Viewpoint of Dynamical Systems*, submitted, arxiv:1111.5562v1 [math.DG], 23 Nov 2011.

[19] —, *A Geometric Approach to Noncommutative Principal Torus Bundles*, submitted, arxiv: to be announced at Tue, 10 Jan 2012.